Exhibit B

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS - BOSTON

IN THE MATTER OF:

: Case No. 12-12292

CHARLES STREET AFRICAN : Boston, Massachusetts
METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH, September 28, 2012

METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH,

: 10:24:50 a.m.

Debtor.

TRANSCRIPT OF CONFIRMATION HEARING RE: (#160) DEBTOR'S SECOND MODIFIED FIRST AMENDED PLAN OF REORGANIZATION AND (#261) OBJECTION OF ONEUNITED BANK BEFORE THE HONORABLE FRANK J. BAILEY, J.U.S.B.C.

APPEARANCES:

For the Debtor:

Ropes & Gray LLP

BY: D. ROSS MARTIN, ESQ.

KEVIN P. DALY, ESQ.

Prudential Tower 800 Boylston Street Boston, MA 01299-3600

For Interested Party, First
Episcopal District of the
African Methodist Episcopal
State Street Financial Center

Church:

One Lincoln Street Boston, MA 02111

Audio Operator:

Mary Artesani, ECRO

Transcript prepared by:

JANICE RUSSELL TRANSCRIPTS

1133 Tanager Trail

Virginia Beach, VA 23451

(757) 422-9089

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording; transcript produced by transcription service.

PROCEEDINGS 1 (Call to Order of the Court) 2 3 THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated. This is the Charles Street African Methodist Episcopal 4 Church. 5 Could you please identify yourself for the record? 6 7 MR. MARTIN: Good morning, Your Honor. Ross Martin of Ropes & Gray for the debtor and debtor in possession, Charles 8 Street African Methodist Episcopal Church. And with me is Mr. 9 10 Kevin Daly. MR. DALE: Good morning, Your Honor. Charles Dale on 11 behalf of the First Episcopal District of the African Methodist 12 Church. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. 14 MR. EDELMAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Lawrence 15 16 Edelman and Gayle Erlich for OneUnited Bank. THE COURT: Okay. Good morning. 17 MR. MARTIN: Morning, Your Honor. For the record, 18 Ross Martin, Ropes & Gray, for the debtor. 19 Your Honor, as we informed the clerk shortly before 20 the hearing, the parties have spoken this morning. We'd like 21 to adjourn the hearing to a future date and I'm happy to 22 23 explain why. I apologize in advance. I know these things take 24 a tremendous amount of preparation not only for the parties, but also for the Court and staff. So we apologize for the late 25

-2 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 4 of

1 notice.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

To be upfront with the Court, we -- we had -- the parties have been working very hard together. We've moved forward, gotten agreement on a couple of factual stipulations that we've been working on and setting a date for a site visit for the Bank next week, early next week. And yesterday, we conducted the depositions of the two expert witnesses in the case.

And again, to just be very straightforward -- and I informed Mr. Edelman of this this morning shortly after 9:00 -during my preparations last night for the hearing today I discovered that I made a mistake in the disclosure statement, not my staff, not my associates, not anyone at the Church. It's in the listing of the historical financial data, which I put together. The Court may recall that in April when the Court had originally set a disclosure statement hearing we made a motion to adjourn that hearing because we had just gotten another 12-month cycle of financial data and the error occurred in my inputting that. Literally, the issue is that the, what is listed as the 2011 financial data is actually for a 12-month period that ended early this year in 2012 and the corresponding 2011 data was overwritten, okay? And just -- and I've told Mr. Edelman that.

It became also clear to me last night that both experts had relied, given the nature of the case, on the

like that.

disclosure statement as well as had the parties. And I'm
simply not prepared to go forward in a Chapter 11 case
representing the debtor on that basis once I find something

So I contacted, obviously, my client and the parties. It is our view, the Church's view, having analyzed it, that it does not actually even -- it does not require a plan amendment. The data is what it is. The Bank may disagree with us on that. To be clear, they had the financials for the period, but I also want to be fair. Their -- it's clear that their expert relied on the disclosure statement, as did ours. So everybody's rights are reserved on those questions.

It may be that we amend the plan, as we have been doing to address various of the issues that have come up at hearings. But our view is this is a disclosure issue, not a, not an actual change in the plan issue, necessarily.

So what we have proposed is that we will do as happens in lots of Chapter 11 cases if changes have to be made, for whatever reason, is we will put together a supplemental disclosure. We would propose to put that out by a week from Monday, given that there are very few relevant creditors involved. We're proposing to have that out for a week and then we'd simply get another date to come back.

In the meantime, we're -- and we informed the Bank of this -- we're more than happy to accommodate them on, you know, #12-12292 fit DOC 5353-2 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 6 of 23

```
if they need to re-depose someone on our side, we'll -- we've
 1
 2
    just this morning in the hallway, after, after I spoke to them
    earlier this morning, I've agreed we'll work out the expert
 3
    cost issues that are raised by this, you know, all the process
 4
 5
    issues, and the parties seem amenable to, to working through
    that as a scheduling matter.
 6
 7
             So as I said, it's, you know, our view is it doesn't
    change the circumstances. It -- I won't get into the details
    of it 'cause it's not worth doing and it's not appropriate
    here, but that's how we'd like to proceed, with the permission
10
11
    of the Court, rather than go forward today on a basis that
    doesn't, doesn't quite match the actual facts.
12
             THE COURT: All right.
13
             MR. MARTIN: If the Court is amenable to it.
14
             THE COURT We were at the point in the confirmation
15
16
    hearing where we expected today to be about the experts --
17
             MR. MARTIN: That's correct.
             THE COURT: -- and the 9th or so of October to be a
18
19
    hearing on the appraisal --
             MR. MARTIN: That's correct.
20
21
             THE COURT: -- and that would end the testimony.
             MR. MARTIN: That's correct.
22
             THE COURT: I understand the, the nature of the
23
    problem that you've identified and my question is, does, do you
24
    anticipate that this would require additional testimony beyond
25
```

-2 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 7 of 23 - 2012

```
those, those individuals that have been identified from your
 1
 2
    side?
             And I'll ask Mr. Edelman --
 3
             MR. MARTIN: Yeah.
 4
             THE COURT: -- the same question, of course.
 5
             MR. MARTIN: I don't think so, Your Honor, but my
 6
 7
    suspicion is is that -- my, my guess is that, just to be open
    about it, the Bank will want to probably depose Rev. Groover
 8
    just to understand it and it may very well be that it makes
 9
    sense, frankly, from their perspective, from ours or from the
10
    Court's, to have him back on the stand for a short period of
11
    time on that discrete issue.
12
13
             THE COURT: All right. So -- so --
             MR. MARTIN: But I don't, I don't anticipate -- to,
14
    maybe, to put that very concretely, Your Honor, I think we
15
    agree -- and I actually feel pretty confident myself, having
16
17
    seen now the depositions yesterday -- that we could do the
    experts in a day and we might need another half day, or
18
19
    something.
             THE COURT: All right.
20
             MR. MARTIN: That's just my -- we have the appraiser,
21
22
    plus maybe a little bit of time for one more witness. I
23
    certainly would not anticipate any more than that.
24
             THE COURT: All right. So the most, the largest
    impact you think this would have on the need for additional
25
```

3-2 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 8 of $\frac{23}{23}$ - $\frac{2012}{20}$

```
1
    evidence would be that you may have to put Rev. Groover back
    on --
 2
 3
             MR. MARTIN: That's correct.
             THE COURT: -- for --
 4
             MR. MARTIN: Half a day.
 5
             THE COURT: -- somewhat briefly.
 6
 7
             A half a day. Okay.
             MR. MARTIN: Yeah. I would not need -- I personally
 8
    would not need half a day. I would not need more than half an
 9
    hour, or so, an hour, I don't think.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. All right.
11
12
             MR. MARTIN: I don't see it changing the circumstances
13
    enough to need a lot of time, so.
14
             THE COURT: All right. I understand.
             MR. MARTIN: Again, I apologize for the late nature of
15
    these things, but, rather than proceed I thought that was
16
    the -- I've certainly been at these plenty of times when the
17
18
    parties come and say, "We're talking," or whatever. "Things
    need to get moved." So --
19
20
             THE COURT: Right.
21
             MR. MARTIN: -- we thought we would proceed that way.
22
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. MARTIN: Thank you.
23
             THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
24
             Mr. Edelman, just on this issue.
25
```

13-53846 tit Doc 5353-2 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 9 of 23 - 2012

```
MR. EDELMAN: Your Honor, again, good morning.
 1
    Lawrence Edelman for OneUnited Bank.
 2
             I thought I had a lousy night last night and I was
 3
    very sorry to hear that, that Mr. Martin trumped me in that
 4
 5
    regard.
             And --
             THE COURT: That what? That --
 6
             MR. EDELMAN: That he trumped me in that regard.
             THE COURT: Oh, yeah.
 8
             MR. EDELMAN: We, we did speak this morning and, and
 9
    Mr. Martin and I talked about the fact that we don't really
10
    know where we are because we haven't seen it and he
11
    acknowledged that, that we reserve all of our rights, you know.
12
    There may be issues that arise out of the numbers and that the
13
    Bank, of course, reserves all of its rights, including, but not
14
    limited to, issues regarding adjusting costs, whether we need
15
    further discovery, whether we need to recall someone, and any
16
17
    other issues that may arise as a result of reviewing the, the
18
    submissions.
19
             That said, it's hard to respond to questions regarding
    dates and timing because I don't really know what we're going
20
21
    to see.
             So I'd like to leave that open --
22
             THE COURT: Fair enough.
             MR. EDELMAN: -- until we see it.
23
             THE COURT: It's a harder question for you to answer,
24
25
    I understand that. Okay.
```

13-53846 $t_1^{\#12}$ Doc 5353-2 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 10 of 23 - 2012

```
But I guess we start with this: The Bank doesn't
 1
    oppose rescheduling for today based on this turn of events?
 2
             MR. EDELMAN: Of course.
 3
             THE COURT: All right.
 4
 5
             MR. EDELMAN: Thank you.
             THE COURT: Thank you.
 6
             So let me -- we'll, we'll get some dates, but let me,
 7
    let me ask about a couple of things that are also pending.
 8
             The motion, the debtor's motion to file a sixth
 9
    modified first amended plan and disclosure statement, or plan,
10
    is that mooted by this turn of events?
11
12
             MR. MARTIN: It is not, Your Honor. But what I would
    say is rather than do things twice, why don't we just hold that
13
14
    over because we may want to tweak one or two things.
             Frankly, not, not even necessarily in response to
15
    this, I got a report on the hearing yesterday, or two days, a
16
    couple of days ago, some issues raised by the Bank and as the
17
    Court is aware, sometimes we try to take those into account.
18
    We may want to make some other changes, in any event.
19
             So I would not at this time ask the Court to rule on
20
    the currently pending motion to modify the plan. That -- that
21
22
    is -- those changes are not mooted. They're favorable to the
    Bank and they stand, but there's no reason to have a hearing
23
    about that today. We might as well roll that into whenever
24
    we're next here.
25
```

13-53846 $t_1t^{#12-12232}$ Piled 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 11 of 23^{-2012}

```
THE COURT: No. I wouldn't have a hearing. The Bank
1
    hasn't had time --
2
             MR. MARTIN: Time to --
3
             THE COURT: -- I think, to respond to it --
 4
             MR. MARTIN: -- respond.
 5
             THE COURT: -- in any event.
 6
             MR. MARTIN: Okay.
 7
             THE COURT: But why don't we just hold the Bank's
 8
    response in abeyance until --
 9
             MR. MARTIN: That's fine.
10
             THE COURT: -- we, until either you tell us you're
11
    proceeding on that plan, or you're going to substitute a --
12
             MR. MARTIN: That's --
13
             THE COURT: -- seventh --
14
             MR. MARTIN: That's fine.
15
             THE COURT: -- modified plan. But you're not -- it's
16
17
    not mooted and you're not withdrawing that currently?
             MR. MARTIN: That's correct, Your Honor.
18
             THE COURT: Okay.
19
             The, the other issue is, I think we still have pending
20
    the motion to exclude the appraisal or the -- or, or, perhaps,
21
    in the alternative, the motion for a site visit --
22
             MR. MARTIN: Right.
23
             THE COURT: -- and --
24
             MR. MARTIN: The status of that, Your Honor, is based
25
                 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 12 ^{9}f ^{23} ^{-2012}
```

```
on the colloquy at the last hearing. The parties are moving in
1
    the, have undertaken to try to work together to make the site
2
    visit happen as an alternative to anything else with that, and
 3
    in fact, as I mentioned before, on Tuesday we're going, we're
4
    getting together with the Bank at the properties to figure out
 5
    how we're going to walk through, what's going to be said,
 6
 7
    things like that.
             So I would say that's progressing along. We obviously
 8
    don't have a date from the Court yet, but we, we have been
 9
10
    advancing that process cooperatively.
             THE COURT: So let's -- just -- we're next going to
11
    talk about dates. Is it, without question, that the parties
12
    collectively want to schedule a court site visit?
13
             MR. MARTIN: I believe that's where we did come out.
14
    We have, to be fair, we have not agreed on a process so that,
15
16
    you know --
             THE COURT: Putting process --
17
             MR. MARTIN: -- subject to everybody --
18
             THE COURT: Putting process aside, is that true,
19
    Mr. Edelman?
20
             MR. EDELMAN: We didn't object to it. It wasn't
21
    something that we initiated, but we did -- we had --
22
23
             THE COURT: All right.
             MR. EDELMAN: We had no objection to a site visit.
24
             THE COURT: And you're still in that, of that view?
25
```

13-53846 tj $^{\#12-12292}_{13}$ Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 13 of 23

```
You're still not objecting to it?
1
             MR. EDELMAN: That's, that's correct.
2
             THE COURT: All right.
3
             So you'll have -- Mr. Edelman, your team will have
 4
    access to the properties on Tuesday. I think we can then
5
    schedule the time --
6
7
             MR. MARTIN: I agree with that.
             THE COURT: -- if not the terms for a, for a visit
 8
 9
    perhaps today.
             MR. MARTIN: That, that would be fine with us, Your
10
    Honor. Again, we, we're scheduling that and we, we, we've been
11
    working on a time for the appraiser, although, unfortunately,
12
    Mr. Vesely is out of town. We've been moving all those issues
13
14
    forward.
             So I think that would be a good use of our time
15
16
    here --
17
             THE COURT: All right.
             MR. MARTIN: -- to get some dates.
1.8
             THE COURT: All right. Well, let's --
19
             Regina's going to give us some dates.
20
21
             Is that acceptable --
22
             MR. EDELMAN: Yes.
             THE COURT: -- Mr. Edelman?
23
24
             MR. EDELMAN: Yes.
25
             THE COURT: Okay.
```

13-53846-tjt^{# 1}2-12232 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 14 of 23 - 2012

```
So Regina's going to give us some dates that we've
1
    looked at in Chambers and we can decide what fits where --
2
             MR. MARTIN: Okay.
3
             THE COURT: -- based on the availability of your
 4
 5
    witnesses, etc.
             MR. MARTIN: Okay.
 6
             THE COURT: So, Regina, why don't we just put out the
 7
    dates that we talked about.
8
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: We have Thursday, October 18th,
9
    from 9:00 to 2:00; we have Monday, October 22nd.
10
             MR. MARTIN: 22nd or 27th?
11
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: 22. Monday, the 22nd, from
12
    1:00 to 5:00; and we have Friday, October 12th, from 9:30 to
13
14
    5:00.
             MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry. From 9:30 --
15
             THE COURT: The full day.
16
17
             MR. MARTIN: Full day.
             THE COURT: October 12, we have a --
18
             MR. MARTIN: I am --
19
             THE COURT: -- a full trial day.
20
             MR. MARTIN: -- unfortunately, not available and out
21
22
    of the country on the 18th and the 22nd, but I am available on
    the, fully available on the Friday.
23
             THE COURT: All right. So we -- right now,
24
    availability that is in play would be October 12, all day.
25
                 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 15 of 23 - 2012
```

13-53846-tjt

```
Now, Regina, we didn't --
 1
              Hold on.
 2
         (Court confers with staff)
 3
              MR. MARTIN: Actually --
 4
 5
              MR. EDELMAN: I -- I --
              MR. MARTIN: -- can I correct that, Your Honor?
 6
 7
              THE COURT: Sure.
              MR. MARTIN: I actually could do the 18th. I take
 8
    that -- I'm not --
 9
10
              MR. EDELMAN: Okay.
              MR. MARTIN: -- actually leaving. I was just checking
11
12
    my schedule. I'm actually not --
13
              MR. EDELMAN: Good. 'Cause I couldn't do any of --
14
              MR. MARTIN: You cannot? Okay. That's fine.
              MR. EDELMAN: No, the 18th. I think the 18th is --
15
              MR. MARTIN: You can't do the 12th?
16
17
              MR. EDELMAN: I -- no.
              MR. MARTIN: Okay.
18
19
              MR. EDELMAN: No.
              MR. MARTIN: That's fine.
20
              MR. EDELMAN: It's something much more important than
21
22
    court.
             It's parents' weekend at college.
              MR. MARTIN: All right.
23
              So the 18th we could definitely do.
24
              It does strike me, actually, given the timeframe and
25
                  Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 16 ^{9}\overline{1} ^{23} ^{-2012}
```

```
the need to give people time on the expert issues, that 9:00 to
 1
 2
    2:00 might be a profitable amount of time for appraisal
    testimony and a site visit, if I could make that suggestion.
 3
             MR. EDELMAN: I'm sorry?
 4
             MR. MARTIN: Make that -- if, if we can -- obviously,
 5
    we need to talk to the appraiser, but the, the 18th might work,
 6
 7
    that amount of time.
             MR. EDELMAN: I think he said that, it was that week
 8
    with, yeah.
 9
             MR. MARTIN: I think he said he was available that
10
    week, maybe.
11
             MR. EDELMAN: Yeah. And the only, the only caveat is
12
    that Liam -- Liam --
13
             MR. MARTIN: Yeah. Well, we'll --
14
             MR. EDELMAN: -- is in Colorado.
15
             MR. MARTIN: -- check. I mean, why don't we
16
    tentatively try to grab it.
17
             MR. EDELMAN: That's fine.
18
             MR. MARTIN: And check with him.
19
20
             MR. EDELMAN: Yeah.
             MR. MARTIN: So --
21
22
             MR. EDELMAN: Yeah.
23
             MR. MARTIN: And then we do -- we could do -- I mean,
    it fits together, actually -- we do the appraiser and the site
24
```

13-53846-tjt[#] 12-12292 13-53846-tjt[#] Doc 5353-2 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 17 of 23

visit.

25

```
1
             MR. EDELMAN: Yeah.
             THE COURT: You know, I -- we, we didn't mention
 2
    October 9 on which we have previously scheduled the full day,
 3
 4
    if that still works. We could do the experts on that day, if
    there's sufficient time for you to get through whatever you may
 5
    need to do about the change.
 6
 7
             MR. MARTIN:
                           I --
             MR. EDELMAN: Your Honor, I think that may be --
 8
 9
             MR. MARTIN: I think that's a little tight, to be --
10
             MR. EDELMAN: -- little early. Because --
             MR. MARTIN: -- to be honest.
11
12
             THE COURT: Let, let me do this.
13
             MR. EDELMAN: -- we don't know what issues --
14
             THE COURT: I'm going to, I'm going to step off.
    would be better for --
15
16
             MR. EDELMAN: I agree.
17
             THE COURT: -- you and Regina to talk about, in my
    absence. It's hard for me to run it from here. It's awkward.
18
19
    And, and that way, you can come on up and just talk freely
20
    about how you want to do it.
21
             I'm going to step off.
22
             MR. MARTIN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
             THE COURT: Well, come on in.
24
        (Recess at 10:40:27 a.m., until 10:57:53 a.m.)
             THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Please be seated.
25
```

13-53846 tj $^{\ddagger 12}$ Doc 5353-2 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 18 of $\frac{28}{3}$

```
Back on the record in Charles Street African Episcopal
 1
    Church.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. So there's been some off-the-
 3
    record discussion concerning dates.
 4
              Does someone want to report what's been agreed to?
 5
              MR. MARTIN: Your Honor, again, Ross Martin, Ropes &
 6
 7
    Gray, for the debtor. Certainly.
              My understanding is that we have the 18th from 9:30 to
 8
 9
    2:00.
              THE COURT: October 18th.
10
             MR. MARTIN: I'm sorry. October 18th, 9:30 to 2:00.
11
              A potential time for a site visit, November 6th in the
12
13
    morning. Precise time has not yet been determined.
14
              THE COURT: Why don't we, why don't we say 10:30.
    Does that --
15
             MR. MARTIN: That's fine.
16
17
              THE COURT: -- work? Okay.
             MR. MARTIN: And the 13th of November, 9:30 to 6:00,
18
19
    for the expert witnesses.
              THE COURT: Okay.
20
              MR. EDELMAN: Your Honor, to be clear, the, the
21
22
    October 18th, 9:30 to 2:00, was earmarked for the, the
23
    appraiser.
24
             MR. MARTIN: That's, that's correct.
             MR. EDELMAN: And the -- and the person who would be
25
                  Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 19 ^{9}_{0} ^{23}_{0} ^{-2012}
```

```
1
    examining the appraiser from our side is Mr. Vesely, who is out
    of town today and it was just -- we agreed that we would check
2
    with him -- but, but there shouldn't be a problem.
3
             THE COURT: Okay. All right. So subject to his
4
5
    availability.
             That's, that's a hard stop for me because that's
6
    the --
             MR. MARTIN: That should be fine.
8
             THE COURT: -- start of the District Conference that
9
    night.
10
11
             MR. MARTIN: That --
12
             THE COURT: So -- and I'm on the committee, so.
             MR. EDELMAN: I don't foresee a problem.
13
14
             THE COURT: Okay.
             MR. MARTIN: Me, neither, of us doing that.
15
             THE COURT: All right. All right.
16
             The, the only other thing that I think we, that I'd
17
    like to pin down is a time, a deadline for the filing of the
18
    order on the site visit.
19
             MR. MARTIN: Okay.
20
             THE COURT: And I would propose that that would be
21
22
    next Friday, a week from today, October 5th.
             Is that enough time after the Bank has had its visit
23
    on Tuesday to come up with that?
24
             MR. MARTIN: I have a confirmation hearing in Delaware
25
```

Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 20 of 28 - 2012

```
on Thursday, is the thing that's making me hesitate, Your
 1
 2
    Honor.
             THE COURT: You want to go to Monday, the --
 3
             MR. MARTIN: Well, that's Columbus Day, but --
 4
             THE COURT: Tuesday, the 9th?
 5
 6
             MR. MARTIN: Tuesday, the 9th, would be fine.
 7
             MR. EDELMAN: Okay, sure.
             THE COURT: I am not, really, in a huge rush to get
 8
    it, I guess, since we're now scheduling that for -- you can
 9
    make it the 12th, as far as I'm concerned.
10
11
             MR. MARTIN: Okay.
12
             THE COURT: Do you want to make it Friday, the 12th?
             MR. MARTIN: That will be fine.
13
14
             THE COURT: That's fine?
             THE COURT: Okay. So --
15
             MR. EDELMAN: Just --
16
             THE COURT: -- 5:00 p.m. on -- on the -- or close of
17
    business on the 12th.
18
19
             MR. EDELMAN: Oh, it just takes me a moment. October
    -- I'm sorry. It's October --
20
21
             THE COURT: Remember, remember these pens? You just
22
    have to --
23
             MR. EDELMAN: No, no, no. I'm just looking for the
    date on the calendar.
24
25
             THE COURT: Right.
```

13-53846 tjt Doc 5353-2 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 21 of 23 - 2012

```
1
             MR. EDELMAN: I'm sorry. It's Friday, October --
 2
             MR. MARTIN: 12th.
             MR. EDELMAN: -- 12th, for the --
 3
             MR. MARTIN: Submission of the, just joint submission
 4
 5
    of a --
 6
             MR. EDELMAN: Oh, okay.
 7
             MR. MARTIN: -- order on --
 8
             MR. EDELMAN: I'm sorry.
             MR. MARTIN: -- the site visit.
 9
10
             MR. EDELMAN: I thought it was an --
             MR. MARTIN: No, no, no.
11
             MR. EDELMAN: -- appearance.
12
13
             THE COURT: No, it's not. We don't need you --
14
             MR. EDELMAN: I apologize.
15
             THE COURT: -- on that day. We just --
16
             MR. EDELMAN: Okay.
17
             THE COURT: -- need your attention just before it, so.
18
             MR. EDELMAN: Okay.
19
             THE COURT: Okay. All right.
20
             I think that's everything that we can accomplish for
    today, right?
21
22
             MR. MARTIN: Again, thank you very much, Your Honor,
23
    and to the court staff.
24
             THE COURT: Things happen.
25
             Okay.
```

13-53846 tj $^{\dagger 12}$ Doc 5353-2 Filed 06/17/14 Entered 06/17/14 15:05:02 Page 22 of $\frac{23}{2}$

```
1
              MR. EDELMAN: Okay.
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 2
 3
              MR. EDELMAN:
                            Thank you, Judge.
         (Proceedings concluded at 11:01:34 a.m.)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
                                CERTIFICATE
              I, court approved transcriber, certify that the
 9
10
    foregoing is a correct transcript from the official electronic
11
    sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled
12
    matter.
    /s/ Janice Russell
13
                                              October 11, 2012
    Janice Russell, Transcriber
14
                                                   Date
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```